

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,736	04/25/2005	Dario Calogero Castiglione	11016-0036	9014
22902	22902 7590 10/17/2007 CLARK & BRODY		EXAMINER	
	NT AVENUE, NW		ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
SUITE 250 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/532,736	CASTIGLIONE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anita K. Alanko	1765				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from . cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. S. 133)				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
·	, —						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 April 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
and the desired desired desired to the desired desired desired supplied not rederived.							
, Au	W-1						
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-22-05</u> .	5)	atent Application				
1 apor 140(0)/Milan Date 7-22-00.							

Art Unit: 1765

## **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to because it is unclear how the three etched layers 20, 23, 24 (page 6, lines 20-22) are shown in Figure 1. Fig.6a is unclear, since all layers have no crosshatching, as to how layer 32 is different from layer 31. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The subject matter of claim 4 (the use of three substrates) of this application admits of illustration by a drawing to facilitate understanding of the invention. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(c). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koh et al (US 6,323,818 B1) in view of WO 98/42486.

Koh discloses an imaging device to be used with millimeter and/or sub-millimeter radiation (col.1, lines 26-31) comprising at least a pair of substrates (Fig.3a), at least one of which is patterned on at least one surface with a patterning defining at least one radiation detector, each detector comprising:

an antenna 42 adapted to receive millimeter and/or sub-millimeter electromagnetic radiation (Fig.5),

a mixer comprising filters (col.9, line 39) (note that "for extracting an intermediate frequency signal" is intended use and is given little weight since the same structure is present as in the instant invention, the same capability is expected) for extracting a signal in dependence upon said radiation received by the antenna,

a waveguide structure 44 coupled to said mixer and having a signal input for connection to a local oscillator 50.

Koh fails to explicitly disclose a via extending through a substrate for connection to a signal output. WO 98/42486 teaches a via 2a extending through the substrate (Fig.2B) for connection to a signal output. It would have been obvious to incorporate a via as taught by WO

Art Unit: 1765

98/42486 in the structure of Koh in order to enable connection to a signal output, and thereby a functional final product.

As to claim 9, Koh discloses to use etching and lithographic techniques (col.9, lines 44-54). It would have been obvious to use multiple masks as cited to form the product of Koh because the multiple structures present at multiple depths through the substrate require multiple etch masks to enable multi-level processing, and such is conventional as known from the semiconductor art.

## **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ballantine is cited to show masking and etching to form antenna and waveguides at multiple depths.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita K. Alanko whose telephone number is 571-272-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri until 2:30 pm (Wed until 11:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anita K Alanko/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765